

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,821	01/05/2004	Shinichi Fujiwara	500.43397X00	. 1652	
20457	7590 05/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT & I SEVENTEENTH STRE	BUDD, MARI	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO:	N, VA 22209-3873	2834			

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Du				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/750,821	FUJIWARA ET AL	•				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark Budd	2834					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo	• •	/ IC OFT TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	(C) EDOM					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.			F				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	C						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10)[0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-9, drawn to a package for a piezoelectric resonator, classified in class 310, subclass 344.

II. Claims 10-18, drawn to a method for manufacturing a piezoelectric package, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the package of Group I can be made by methods other than those of Group II, E.g. the packages could be formed as a single device rather than being cut from a commonly processed substrate. Also, the bonding could be done by cold welding (no heat) or heating without pressure (reflow soldering).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Budd/ds